Hello everyone,

My revised PICOT question is: In immigrant and refugee adults in the U.S. with depression (P), does receiving culturally tailored mental health services (I) compared to standard mental health services (C) result in a greater reduction in depression severity scores (O) after 12 months of treatment (T)?

Access to mental health care is one of the most urgent yet often overlooked public health crises facing immigrant and refugee populations in the U.S. While efforts to address mental health disparities have been stepped up in the recent past,[1] millions of immigrants and refugees continue to face structural, cultural, and linguistic barriers that prevent them from receiving timely and effective mental health care and support. The need for more concerted efforts is highlighted by the high rates of psychological distress, trauma, and depression documented among these populations.[2]

There are approximately 47 million immigrants currently in the United States, or about 13.9% of the total U.S. population.[2] This significantly large population needs more immediate and profound culturally appropriate mental health interventions. However, existing standard care services are often designed based on dominant cultural norms and aimed at speedy integration. This leaves a critical gap for non-English speakers as well as other persons from diverse backgrounds who do not see themselves reflected in the systems meant to support and inculcate them into the broader American culture.

It is therefore imperative to examine if culturally-tailored mental health services designed with specific considerations for language, cultural values, and community context lead to greater reduction in depression outcomes for immigrants and refugees as compared to standard, one-size-fits-all care. The goal of this research is to address a fundamental mental health care question on equity: Does cultural tailoring improve outcomes, and if so, is this clinically significant?

A growing body of evidence confirms that immigrants and refugee populations face multi-layered barriers to accessing suitable mental health care services. These barriers may be structural, such as lack of transportation, health insurance, or unstable housing.[3] In addition, socio-cultural factors such as stigma, mistrust of Western healthcare models, and language discordance with health care providers pose a significant barrier.[3,4] Profoundly, a qualitative analysis across five countries identified linguistic barriers, immigration status, bureaucratic intricacies, and systemic racism as persistent impediments to those seeking mental health services.[4] Moreover, a strikingly low proportion of mental health services in high-income English-speaking countries are offered in languages other than English. This disproportionately affects Latinx and other newcomers with limited English proficiency.[5, 6]

Researchers have also gone beyond identifying barriers and evaluated the impact of culturally tailored interventions. A systematic review and meta-analysis evaluated interventions designed with specific attention to cultural and linguistic contexts; such as group counseling, translated Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) modules, and peer-led programs. Findings indicate that these interventions significantly reduced stigma and improved engagement for treatment among immigrant populations.[7] Nonetheless, the researchers found that most of these studies were short-term, underpowered, or lacked follow-up. This leaves questions unanswered on the desired outcomes in the long-term.

Similar studies have echoed these findings. A systematic literature review examined 20 trials of psychological interventions for refugees. Findings indicate that most, including culturally adapted CBT, showed significant improvement in depressive symptoms.[8] In another related qualitative feasibility study carried out in Sweden, individually tailored and culturally adapted internet-based CBT for Arabic-speaking youths with mental health problems demonstrated some effectiveness but faced challenges in engagement and retention. This highlights the complexity of delivering culturally relevant care through the internet or other digital means.[9]

Other studies have proposed faith-based and community-based interventions as effective platforms for delivering mental health services. An integrative review of community-based health interventions among resettled refugees from Muslim-majority countries proposed a multi-faceted approach that involves outreach, engagement, and delivery of mental health education and support through mosques, family networks, and trusted community leaders.[10] Similarly, a systematic review conducted in Europe identified gender-specific barriers such as childcare responsibilities and cultural stigma to seeking and accessing mental health support in primary care. This underscores the importance of intersectional approaches.[11]

Although most existing interventions have promising outcomes, they do not compare culturally tailored care against standard care models directly in a controlled, longitudinal way. Additionally, there is a scarcity of evidence that focuses on the relationship between tailored care and depression outcomes over time among immigrants and refugees living in the United States. This underscores the existing gap on the need for further research on whether cultural tailored mental health interventions truly influence clinical outcomes in these high-risk populations; and if so, by how much.

The existing body of literature supports the potential for effective culturally tailored mental health interventions for immigrant and refugee populations. However, it has several critical limitations. First, very few exhaustive studies use rigorous experimental designs such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to directly compare culturally tailored services versus standard care models.[7,8] Findings are mostly drawn from observational studies or small-scale pilot programs. While these are informative, they limit our ability to draw clinically significant conclusions. Second, longitudinal data on treatment outcomes beyond short-term follow-up periods remains scarce. Most of the existing literature focuses on immediate or short-term improvements in symptoms. Therefore, there is insufficient information on whether these benefits are sustained over time.[9,10] Immigrant and refugee populations have been identified as high-risk in regard to mental health, and health symptoms often have chronic or trauma-related origins, such as in refugees and asylum seekers. Third, most studies do not consistently identify or isolate depression as a primary outcome measure, despite being one of the most prevalent and debilitating mental health conditions among refugees and immigrants.[11,3] Depression is often grouped with broader categories such as ‘psychological distress’ or ‘mental health symptoms,’ which makes it difficult to understand specific treatment outcomes on the severity of depression itself. Finally, despite the wide diversity of immigrant and refugee communities in the U.S., very few studies focus specifically on the United States itself as context. For instance, healthcare access, immigration policy, historical perspectives, and cultural dynamics differ significantly from those in Europe, China, or Australia, where much of the current literature originates.[3, 4, 9]

To address gaps identified above, this study will conduct comparative research to evaluate whether culturally tailored mental health services lead to greater reductions in depression severity among immigrants and refugee adults living in the United States as compared to standard care models. Research will isolate depression severity as the primary outcome and follow participants over a 12-month treatment period. This will provide much-needed longitudinal data on the effectiveness of culturally- tailored mental health interventions. Prior research has often been exploratory or observational in nature. To ensure outcomes can be meaningfully linked to the intervention, this study will utilize a randomized controlled design. Eligible participants will be randomly assigned to either the intervention or control group. Depression severity will be measured at the start (baseline), 3, 6, 9, and 12 months using the PHQ-9 scale.

This study aims at quantifying the effect of culturally-tailored care on depression as well as provide practical, evidence-based implications that can inform public health programs, clinical practice, and mental health policy for immigrant and refugee populations living in the United States. Ultimately, this research will contribute to narrowing the gap in knowledge in evaluation of the success of interventions aimed at improving mental health equity for some of the most vulnerable groups in American society.

References

1. Sun J. The mental health of refugees in the USA: changes and the unchanged. *J Public Health (Berl)*. 2023;31:277-284. doi:10.1007/s10389-020-01458-x
2. Batalova J. Frequently Requested Statistics on Immigrants and Immigration in the United States. Migration Policy Institute. Published March 12, 2025. Accessed July 19, 2025. https://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/frequently-requested-statistics-immigrants-and-immigration-united-states
3. Byrow Y, Pajak R, Specker P, Nickerson A. Perceptions of mental health and perceived barriers to mental health help-seeking amongst refugees: a systematic review. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2020;75:101812. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2019.101812
4. Forray AI, Oltean O, Hanft-Robert S, et al. Uncovering multi-level mental healthcare barriers for migrants: a qualitative analysis across China, Germany, Netherlands, Romania, and South Africa. *BMC Public Health*. 2024;24:1593. doi:10.1186/s12889-024-19046-z
5. Escobedo LE, Cervantes L, Havranek E. Barriers in healthcare for Latinx patients with limited English proficiency—a narrative review. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2023;38:1264-1271. doi:10.1007/s11606-022-07995-3
6. Sentell T, Shumway M, Snowden L. Access to mental health treatment by English language proficiency and race/ethnicity. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2007;22(Suppl 2):289-293. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0345-7
7. Marchi M, Laquatra G, Yaaqovy AD, Pingani L, Ferrari S, Galeazzi GM. Bridging the Gap: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Interventions to Address Barriers in Migrant Mental Health Care Access. *Psychiatry International*. 2024; 5(4):883-903. doi:10.3390/psychiatryint5040060

8. Uhr C, Pawils S, Morina N, et al. Psychological interventions for refugees with depression: a systematic literature review. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2025;25:26. doi:10.1186/s12888-024-06447-y
9. Demetry Y, Wasteson E, Lindegaard T, et al. Individually tailored and culturally adapted internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy for Arabic-speaking youths with mental health problems in Sweden: qualitative feasibility study. *JMIR Form Res*. 2023;7:e46253. doi:10.2196/46253
10. Siddiq H, Elhaija A, Wells K. An integrative review of community-based mental health interventions among resettled refugees from Muslim-majority countries. *Community Ment Health J*. 2023;59:160-174. doi:10.1007/s10597-022-00994-y
11. Nyikavaranda P, Pantelic M, Jones CJ, Paudyal P, Tunks A, Llewellyn CD. Barriers and facilitators to seeking and accessing mental health support in primary care and the community among female migrants in Europe: a "feminisms" systematic review. *Int J Equity Health*. 2023;22(1):196. doi:10.1186/s12939-023-01990-8